

“Sabbath, Diet, and End Times | 3ABN Bible Q & A” was posted on the YouTube Three Angels Broadcasting Network (3ABN) channel on 3/25/26. Idalia Dinzey, the 3ABN Latino Network Administrative Assistant is mainly responsible for reading the questions to be answered. After she introduces herself, she reads Psalms 96:1-2 from the New King James Version (NKJV). The sages answering the questions are Pastor James Rafferty, 3ABN Director of Discipleship, and Shelly Quinn, 3ABM Program Development Manager/Producer. Here’s the link to the video.

<https://youtu.be/u229AMOOoNWY?si=V4-UYPycUl82iTZk>

Here are some quotations, not mentioned in the video, that are pertinent to this review.

“There is no finite man that lives, I care not who he is or whatever is his position, that God has authorized to pick and choose in His word.” *The Academy Study Bible* page 406 of the New Testament section or Manuscript 13, 1888.

“I would have both my arms taken off at my shoulders before I would ever make the statement or set my judgment upon the Word of God as to what is inspired and what is not inspired. How would finite man know anything about that matter? He is to take the Word of God as it reads, and then to appreciate it as it is, and to bring it into the life and to weave it into the character.” *Ibid.*

“Never attempt to search the Scriptures unless you are ready to listen, unless you are ready to be a learner, unless you are ready to listen to the Word of God as though His voice were speaking directly to you from the living oracles.” *Ibid.*, page 407 of the New Testament section.

“Do not let any living man come to you and begin to dissect God’s Word, telling what is revelation, what is inspiration and what is not, without a rebuke. Tell all such they simply do not know.” *Ibid.*

“We want no one to say, ‘This I will reject, and this will I receive,’ but we want to have implicit faith in the Bible as a whole and as it is.” *Ibid.*

“Not a jot or tittle is ever to be taken from that Word.” *Ibid.*

Idalia starts the show and reads a passage from the New King James Version (NKJV) and then introduces the participants. Many amens are spoken.

The first question is about the Sabbath and the Gregorian calendar and “Saturday on the pagan calendar.” James Rafferty answers the question logically.

The next question goes to Shelly at 8:54. The question is, “What are the triune gods and what is the significance of being introduced in the Adventist Church?” Shelly says, “Adventists are firmly monotheistic. We believe in one God, not three gods.” She then quotes the following passage.

“Hear, O Israel: The LORD our God, the LORD is one!” Deuteronomy 6:4 (NKJV).

Shelly then mentions the “Godhead.” She says “triune God” means there are three distinct persons of the same essence and character. She says theologians came up with the word Trinity. I think her explanation was pretty good but she left out the best passage of all.

“For there are three that bear witness in heaven: the Father, the Word, and the Holy Spirit; and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness on earth: the Spirit, the water, and the blood; and these three agree as one.” 1 John 5:7-8 (NKJV).

Why, pray tell, wouldn't Shelly use that one?

“Textual evidence attests (cf. p. 10) the omission of the passage ‘in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness in earth.’ The resultant reading of vs. 7, 8 is as follows: ‘For there are three that bear record, the Spirit, and the water, and the blood: and these three agree in one.’ The passage as given in the KJV is in no Greek MS earlier than the 15th and 16th centuries. The disputed words found their way into the KJV by way of the Greek text of Erasmus (see Vol. V p. 141). It is said that Erasmus offered to include the disputed words in his Greek Testament if he were shown even one Greek MS that contained them. A library in Dublin produced such a MS (known as 34), and Erasmus included the passage in his text.” *Seventh-day Adventist Bible Commentary*, volume 7 (1957 edition), page 675.

“The disputed words have been widely used in support of the doctrine of the Trinity, but, in view of such overwhelming evidence against their authenticity, their support is valueless and should not be used.” *Ibid.*

The Seventh-day Adventist Bible bashing had definitely started before 1957 in spite of the counsel of the Lords Messenger back in 1888 that we're not to let “any living man come” “and begin to dissect God's Word, telling what is revelation, what is inspiration and what is not, without a rebuke. Tell all such they simply do not know.” Read the whole quote again up at the top if you need to. As you probably know, “KJV” is an abbreviation for the King James Version.

Here's that passage from the Andrews Study Bible that's allegedly “Light. Depth. Truth.” in the New International Version (NIV).

“For there are three that testify: the Spirit, the water and the blood; and the three are in agreement.” 1 John 5:7-8 (NIV).

First John 5:7 has been removed and 1 John 5:8 has been split and used to make it appear that nothing is missing. Satan agrees with the scholars. There is a book titled *Communication with the Spirit World* by Johannes Greber. In it are quotations of things said by a demon speaking through a medium. Here is one of those quotations found on page 369.

“The doctrine that three persons are united in one Godhead finds its main support in the grossly falsified passage in the Epistle of John, the correct version of which reads: ‘For there are three that bear witness, the Spirit, the water, and the blood: and the three of them are in

accord'. (*1st John 5:8.*) To this has been added the spurious sentence: 'And there are three who bear witness in Heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Spirit, and these three are one'. That this entire last sentence is a spurious interpolation is a fact of which your Catholic theologians are well aware."

Do you think the demon was being helpful by pointing out a doctrinal error in the Bible?

"Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils." 1 Timothy 4:1 (KJV).

At 12:08 Shelly gives alternate passages that teach the doctrine of the Trinity. She starts by saying, "Might wanna to jot these down. Matthew twenty-eight nineteen, baptizing in the name, that's a singular name, of the Father, Son and the Holy Spirit." Actually, that's not a singular name any more than saying something like "the fire chief, Snicklepuss and the team captain are coming to the party" would mean the identification was "singular" and about one person. John 1:1 was used to show that the Word, Jesus, is God. She then uses John 14:14-16 to show that Jesus describes the Holy Spirit "as our personal Helper." I think what she really needed was John 14:16-18. Here are the verses she mentioned from the real Bible and the fake one.

"If ye shall ask any thing in my name, I will do it. If ye love me, keep my commandments. And I will pray the Father, and he shall give you another Comforter, that he may abide with you for ever; Even the Spirit of truth; whom the world cannot receive, because it seeth him not, neither knoweth him: but ye know him; for he dwelleth with you, and shall be in you. I will not leave you comfortless: I will come to you." John 14:14-18 (KJV).

"If you ask anything in My name, I will do it. 'If you love Me, keep My commandments. And I will pray the Father, and He will give you another Helper, that He may abide with you forever—the Spirit of truth, whom the world cannot receive, because it neither sees Him nor knows Him; but you know Him, for He dwells with you and will be in you. I will not leave you orphans; I will come to you.'" John 14:14-18 (NKJV).

"'I will pray the Father,' He said, 'and He shall give you another Comforter, that He may abide with you forever; even the Spirit of truth; whom the world cannot receive, because it seeth Him not, neither knoweth Him: but ye know Him; for He dwelleth with you, and shall be in you. I will not leave you orphans: I will come to you.'" *The Desire of Ages* page 669.

Here are a couple of definitions from Noah Webster's 1829 *American Dictionary of the English Language*.

"COMFORTER, *n.* One who administers comfort or consolation ; one who strengthens and supports the mind in distress or danger. I looked for *comforters*, but found none. Ps. lxi. Miserable *comforters* are ye all. Job xvi. 2. The title of the Holy Spirit, whose office it is to comfort, and support the christian. But the *Comforter*, the Holy Spirit, whom the father will send in my name—he shall teach you all things. John xiv."

“HELPER, *n.* One that helps, aids or assists; an assistant ; an auxiliary. 2. One that furnishes or administers a remedy. Compassion—is oftentimes a *helper* of evils. *More.* 3. One that supplies with any thing wanted; with *to.* A *helper* to a husband. *Shak.* 4. A supernumerary servant. *Swift.*”

What do you think fits the best, “Helper” or “Comforter?” I know, “christian” isn’t capitalized in that dictionary and “any thing” is two words, not one.

At 13:23 Shelly says, “If the Holy Spirit were not a divine person as Christ described Him, then He could not convict our hearts, guide us, talk with us and sanctify us.” So, when we have a helper to help us with a task, does the helper guide us or does the helper do our bidding to complete the task we’re working on? Does the physician’s assistant guide the doctor or does the doctor guide the physician’s assistant?

At Idalia 15:25 starts reading a question from Nelly from Virginia. The question as read by Idalia is, “I am using the New King James Bible to study with. We were studying Daniel three when the pastor pointed out that the word sackbut was left out of the New King James Version. He felt the word sackbut was a misinterpretation in the King James Version. So they left it out of the King James Version. Is this correct? What Bible version is the most accurate to the original manuscript? Nellie wants to know Pastor Rafferty.”

Pastor Rafferty says, “Thank you Nellie for your question. It’s ah, a couple questions there an they’re really good. I jus wanna say that, ah, it depends on what manuscript you’re talking about but when we get to the King James, ah, we’re going to find, ah, in the New King James, and in the NIV and in the ESV and in the NASB and all the different versions of the Bible, we’re going to find that no Bible is absolutely perfect. You’re just not going to find perfection in any of these translations. So with that said, I encourage people, get as many translations of the Bible as you can get and compare them so tha you can get a fuller understanding of the text.”

James has just admitted that he believes ALL of what are called “the Bible” have errors. It is also a direct contradiction of what was said by the Lord’s Messenger. It is unlikely that ANY of you reading this have a Seventh-day Adventist pastor who believes there is any “the Bible” in the world at this time, including the one Ellen G. White was referring to, that doesn’t contain mistakes. Here’s someone who agrees with James.

“It might be wise to purchase several translations even if you know which you will use for your regular reading. The reason? To compare renderings. If you know no Greek, Hebrew, or Latin, the best way to get a feel for the meaning of a verse is to see how different translations phrase it.” *Catholicism and Fundamentalism* by Karl Keating found on pages 304 and 305.

Catholicism and Fundamentalism was published by the Ignatius Press and received the *Nihil Obstat* of “Rev. Msgr. Joseph Pollard, S.T.D. Censor Librorum,” the *Imprimatur* of “+ Most Reverend Roger Mahony Archbishop of Los Angeles” as well as, on the back cover, the praise of John A. Hardon, S.J. who called it “A masterpiece of Catholic apologetics.”

At 18:20 James says, “I would say the KJV is a must to have in your library. Now you may not wanna preach with the KJV, you may not wanna do Bible studies with the KJV it may be difficult for you to understand, but it’s a must to have in your library if you’re gonna be a serious student of the Bible. Why is that? Well, there’s a couple of reasons. The first reason is, when you come to, wo, words that have been added to the text, that is the Greek trans, or the English translation, but are not supported by the Hebrew or the Greek or the Aramaic for parts of the books of Daniel, you’re going to find that those words are italicized.”

At 18:55 James starts talking about words added to English translations of the Bible needed to make sense in English. James uses for his example the word “sacrifice” in Daniel 8:12. In the KJV and NKJV words that are added so the translation makes sense in English, are italicized. The word “sacrifice” is italicized in that verse in the KJV and NKJV.

“Therefore the he goat waxed very great: and when he was strong, the great horn was broken; and for it came up four notable ones toward the four winds of heaven. And out of one of them came forth a little horn, which waxed exceeding great, toward the south, and toward the east, and toward the pleasant *land*. And it waxed great, *even* to the host of heaven; and it cast down *some* of the host and of the stars to the ground, and stamped upon them. Yea, he magnified *himself* even to the prince of the host, and by him the daily *sacrifice* was taken away, and the place of his sanctuary was cast down. And an host was given *him* against the daily *sacrifice* by reason of transgression, and it cast down the truth to the ground; and it practised, and prospered. Then I heard one saint speaking, and another saint said unto that certain *saint* which spake, How long shall be the vision *concerning* the daily *sacrifice*, and the transgression of desolation, to give both the sanctuary and the host to be trodden under foot? And he said unto me, Unto two thousand and three hundred days; then shall the sanctuary be cleansed.” Daniel 8:8-14 (KJV).

Try reading the above passage leaving out the italicized words and see how it sounds. You will find that the italicized words are needed to make the reading in English sound correct. Some bibles don’t italicize words that were needed to make English sound right. It’s now time to get out your ENGLISH dictionary and your ENGLISH exhaustive concordance for the real Bible. Here are definitions of “daily” from Noah Webster’s 1828 *American Dictionary of the English Language*.

“Happening or being every day ; done day by day ; bestowed or enjoyed every day ; as *daily* labour ; a *daily* allowance. Give us this day our *daily* bread. *Lord’s Prayer*. Every day ; day by day ; as, a thing happens *daily*.”

Here is the definition of the word daily as it appears in Daniel 8:8-14 as it appears in *Strong’s Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible*. The Strong’s number is 8548.

“**tâmîyd**, *taw-meed*’; from an unused root mean. to *stretch*; prop. *continuance* (as indef. *extension*); but used only (attributively as adj.) *constant* (or adv. *constantly*); ellipt. the *regular* (daily) sacrifice: **-alway** (-s), continual (employment, -ly) daily, ([n-]) ever (-more), perpetual.”

So, is “sacrifice” needed to make the passage make sense? Not really. “Daily” could be a noun meaning an extended time and not an adjective for the noun “sacrifice.”

“Then I saw in relation to the ‘daily’ (Dan. 8:12) that the word ‘sacrifice’ was supplied by man’s wisdom, and does not belong to the text, and that the Lord gave the correct view of it to those who gave the judgment hour cry. When union existed, before 1844, nearly all were united on the correct view of the ‘daily’; but in the confusion since 1844, other views have been embraced, and darkness and confusion have followed. Time has not been a test since 1844, and it will never again be a test.” *Early Writings* pages 74-75.

“Sacrifice” was clearly indicated in italics as being a supplied word so it is not a mistake. Here’s something from Jimmy’s “the Bible;” oh, I forgot, Jimmy doesn’t have a Bible, Jimmy has a bunch of “the Bible” from which he picks and chooses. Here’s something from one of his “the Bible” and from the real Bible.

“Now before they lay down, the men of the city, the men of Sodom, both old and young, all the people from every quarter, surrounded the house. And they called to Lot and said to him, ‘Where are the men who came to you tonight? Bring them out to us that we may know them *carnally*.’” Genesis 19:4-5 (NKJV).

“But before they lay down, the men of the city, even the men of Sodom, compassed the house round, both old and young, all the people from every quarter: And they called unto Lot, and said unto him, Where are the men which came in to thee this night? bring them out unto us, that we may know them.” Genesis 19:4-5 (KJV).

Notice the italicized word “carnally” in the NKJV that the KJV doesn’t have? Was that word needed in order to make sense in English? Could it be that the NKJV translators didn’t know what “know them” meant?

“Now Adam knew Eve his wife, and she conceived and bore Cain, and said, ‘I have acquired a man from the LORD.’” Genesis 4:1 (NKJV).

“And Cain knew his wife, and she conceived and bore Enoch. And he built a city, and called the name of the city after the name of his son-Enoch.” Genesis 4:17 (NKJV).

“And Adam knew his wife again, and she bore a son and named him Seth, ‘For God has appointed another seed for me instead of Abel, whom Cain killed.’” Genesis 4:25 (NKJV).

Can you figure out what “knew” means? Get out your ENGLISH exhaustive concordance of the Bible. The very same Hebrew word translated as “know” in Genesis 19:5 was translated as “knew” in Genesis 4:1, 17 and 25. Why was the word “carnally” added in the NKJV? Any guess? Try hard. “Carnally” was added so it would appear that “know” could mean something other than gang rape of men on men. You know, like being unfriendly to strangers. There was NO intention to deceive in regards to “sacrifice” in Daniel and there WAS an intention to deceive in the NKJV in Genesis 19:5 and that’s not close to the real problems with the NKJV which don’t exist in the KJV.

Here's something else to understand about Bible study. With the exception of very simple things like keeping all Ten Commandments including the fourth one that says to keep the SEVENTH DAY holy and the basics about accepting and following Christ for your salvation, the Bible was not meant for infidels to understand. Bible study is supposed to be preceded by prayer in faith to God asking that He will help you understand His Word AND with the intention of obeying all He tells you to do in His Word. If you're not willing to do that, you won't understand everything God wishes to teach you.

At 19:53 Jimmy says, "Another problem you're going to find is that there are words that are added to verses ah, in, in the gospels, there are a, a number of text that have been, ah, I would say added to, to make it sound like Jesus Christ did away with the unclean food laws that were prescribed in the book of Leviticus. The words have been added to say by saying this Jesus pronounced all foods clean. That is not in the original text."

OK, that's another problem with Jimmy's "the Bible."

"And he saith unto them, Are ye so without understanding also? Do ye not perceive, that whatsoever thing from without entereth into the man, it cannot defile him; Because it entereth not into his heart, but into the belly, and goeth out into the draught, purging all meats?" Mark 7:18-19 (KJV).

"So He said to them, 'Are you thus without understanding also? Do you not perceive that whatever enters a man from outside cannot defile him, because it does not enter his heart but his stomach, and is eliminated, thus purifying all foods?'" Mark 7:18-19 NKJV

"'Are you so dull?' he asked. 'Don't you see that nothing that enters a person from the outside can defile them? For it doesn't go into their heart but into their stomach, and then out of the body.' (In saying this, Jesus declared all foods clean.)" Mark 7:18-19 (NIV).

The real Bible says foods go into the belly (digestive tract), then into the draught (latrine or in our day usually the sewer) thus purging (getting rid) all meats (foods). The NKJV says the food is eliminated and "thus purifying all foods." That means the translators were trying to say Jesus declared all foods clean or He was saying the brown stuff that's eliminated has been made pure. The NIV plainly says Jesus declared all foods clean. As Jimmy says, it's true Jesus declaring all foods clean in the NIV is a fabrication but there is another passage in both the NKJV and NIV that teaches it's OK to eat anything that swims, walks or crawls.

"Every moving thing that liveth shall be meat for you; even as the green herb have I given you all things. But flesh with the life thereof, which is the blood thereof, shall ye not eat." Genesis 9:3-4 (KJV).

"Every moving thing that lives shall be food for you. I have given you all things, even as the green herbs. But you shall not eat flesh with its life, that is, its blood." Genesis 9:3-4 (NKJV).

“Everything that lives and moves about will be food for you. Just as I gave you the green plants, I now give you everything. “But you must not eat meat that has its lifeblood still in it.” Genesis 9:3-4 (NIV).

The NKJV and the NIV plain say that everything that every living thing was to be “food” for Noah and his family and by extension, the human race. You can extract yourself from the dilemma with the KJV because “meat” can mean other things than food you put in your mouth.

Jimmy says to have the NKJV and KJV in your library but if you’re going to just have one, to go with the KJV. Good choice James.

James then discloses that there is a big problem in Hebrews in the NKJV. The problem is actually in both of the study bibles published by Andrews University.

“Neither by the blood of goats and calves, but by his own blood he entered in once into the holy place, having obtained eternal redemption for us.” Hebrews 9:12 (KJV).

“Not with the blood of goats and calves, but with His own blood He entered the Most Holy Place once for all, having obtained eternal redemption. Hebrews 9:12 (NKJV).

“He did not enter by means of the blood of goats and calves; but he entered the Most Holy Place once for all by his own blood, thus obtaining eternal redemption.” Hebrews 9:12 (NIV).

This isn’t a prophecy; it’s describing what already happened. If Jesus started His Most Holy Place ministry way back then, it wasn’t something that started in 1844.

At 31:46 James says he wants to make a suggestion some may think is radical. He thinks the “antichrist is the system of this world that is seeking to put itself in the place of Christ in our hearts. Let me just say that again. Antichrist is the system of this world, anything in this world that is seeking to put itself in the place of Christ in our hearts; in this body temple.”

No James, I don’t think so.

“Luther was as yet but partially converted from the errors of Romanism. But as he compared the Holy Oracles with the papal decrees and constitutions, he was filled with wonder. ‘I am reading,’ he wrote, ‘the decrees of the pontiffs, and ... I do not know whether the pope is antichrist himself, or his apostle, so greatly is Christ misrepresented and crucified in them.’” *The Great Controversy* page 139.

“When the papal bull reached Luther, he said: ‘I despise and attack it, as impious, false....It is Christ Himself who is condemned therein....I rejoice in having to bear such ills for the best of causes. Already I feel greater liberty in my heart; for at last I know that the pope is antichrist, and that his throne is that of Satan himself.’—D’Augigné, b. 6, ch. 9.” *Ibid*, pages 141-142.

“Satan is still working through every means which he can control to destroy religious liberty. The antichristian power which the protesters of Spire rejected is now with renewed vigor seeking to re-establish its lost supremacy.” *Ibid*, page 205.

In a kind of farewell, James says “it’s very important for us to have a, a version of the Bible that is accurate to the Greek and accurate to the Hebrew and the King James is that but of course we wanna, maybe, a, be able to maybe compare other versions of the Bible also.”

Good James, and stop saying the KJV contains mistakes.

www.SatanIsDead.com

www.InfallibleBible.com

www.DeathConfusion.com

www.AdventistsToday.com

www.SabbathSchoolGuide.com